



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,465	09/19/2006	Hitoshi Aoki	Q96905	2689
23373	7590	11/10/2011	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			WEDDINGTON, KEVIN E	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.				
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			1629	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/10/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@sughrue.com
sughrue@sughrue.com
PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/593,465	AOKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KEVIN E. WEDDINGTON	1629	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KEVIN E. WEDDINGTON. (3) _____.

(2) Debodhonyaa Sengupta. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 03 November 2011.

Type: Telephonic Video Conference
 Personal [copy given to: applicant applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others

(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: The claims in general.

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The attorney of record, Ms. Sengupta, was called on November 3, 2011 to inquire about filing a response to the outstanding Office action dated March 28, 2011. As of November 4, 2011, no return call from the attorney of record; therefore, the present application is now considered to be abandoned.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

Attachment

/KEVIN E WEDDINGTON/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1629	
---	--